

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,947	09/22/2003	Wayne T. DeJarnette	02358-PA	1232
72468 HODES PESS	7590 01/27/201 SIN & KATZ , P.A	EXAMINER		
901 DULANE	Y VALLEY ROAD, S	BURGESS, JOSEPH D		
BALTIMORE	, MD 21204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/667,947	DEJARNETTE ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
JOSEPH BURGESS	3626					

	JOSEPH BUNGESS	3626							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.							
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal feel in compliaince with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) \(\simega\) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a 								
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			арреаі. Біпсе а						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	t prior to the date of filling a brief								
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L below),							
(a) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the						
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 5-32</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. \(\sigma\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks appear to rely on features which have not been entered as of the present communication. Therefore, the									
finality of the previous office action is maintained.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
	(Dahart Manna)								
/Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626									

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amended feature of "analyzing said at least one individual radiological image... using automated electronic image analysis comprising histogram analysis to determine associated ones of said radiological orders" in claim 18 and similar proposed new claimed features using "peak finding techniques" and "moments of order analysis" in claims 33-47 require further search and consideration as they change the scope of the invention from that previously claimed.